

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/713,163

Applicant(s)

POLOWINCZAK ET AL.

Examiner

John B. Walsh

Art Unit

3676

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John B. Walsh.

(3)_____.

(2) Peter Siavelis.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 03 September 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

John B. Walsh
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner telephoned the applicant's representative upon receipt of the request for reconsideration dated August 19, 2003. The examiner informed Mr. Siavelis that the remarks of that paper were considered but were not persuasive to withdraw the pending rejections and place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner will send out an advisory action at this time and would consider any telephone interviews in the near future to discuss the application.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY